UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,162	12/23/2005	Andreas Schuetze	38300	3597
PEARNE & GO	7590 01/23/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9TI SUITE 1200	H STREET	BAND, MICHAEL A		
	ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/540,162	SCHUETZE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL BAND	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	Octobor 2009					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th						
·=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle, 1935 C.B. 11, 4					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) — Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/17/2005</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-16 in the reply filed on 10/2/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that unity exists between claims 1-32 because there is a common special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art. This is not found persuasive because whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step. For example, a document discovered in the international search shows that there is a presumption of lack of novelty or inventive step in a main claim, so that there may be no technical relationship left over the prior art among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features, leaving two or more dependent claims without a single general inventive concept.

As set forth in the Requirement for Restriction of 9/2/2008, US Patent No. 4,981,756 reference demonstrates that the claim 17 of a vacuum system of the application does not avoid the prior art since the reference teaches a vacuum system in Example 1 having at least one arc source. The features that Applicant argues are common to each of the species are not special technical features as described in MPEP §1850. Therefore, the special technical feature of the application is anticipated by or in view of the prior art and accordingly, applying the unity of invention standard to the

Art Unit: 1795

independent claims, unity of invention does not exist with respect to the claimed inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-16 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 3, the phrase "preferred" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,162 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the greater part of the surface". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramalingam (US Patent No. 5,298,136).

With respect to claims 1-3, Ramalingam discloses an apparatus for controlled arc coating of substrates utilizing cathodes or targets (abstract), where fig. 1 depicts a vacuum arc deposition apparatus [10] with a target [20] with two magnet systems [19], [30] having opposite poles as depicted in fig. 3-7. The component B normal of the magnetic field goes to zero Gauss (col. 8, lines 30-52). Fig. 1 further depicts the target [20] having a greater part that is at least 50% from the middle of said target [20] to a rim (i.e. end) of said target [20].

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Nos. 4,673,477; 4,849,088; 5,160,595; 5,298,136; 5,997,705; 6,103,074; USPGPub 2004/0112736.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Band whose telephone number is (571) 272-

9815. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795